



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,890	03/06/2002	Michael Anft	112740-545	2476	
29177 75	90 06/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			CHIANO	CHIANG, JACK	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135		*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2642		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200:	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Survey	10/070,890	ANFT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack Chiang	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	January 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 13 and 15-25 is/are pending in the a	☑ Claim(s) <u>13 and 15-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13, 15-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
,						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

1. A telephone interview was held between the Examiner and Mr. Peter Zura on 06/06/05 and 06/07/05. There was a discussion on claims 13 and 21, in which it involves the movements of the key. Currently, claims 13 and 21 appear claiming three different types of movements, the first one is rotating, the second one is tilting, and the third one is pressing. The examiner has directed Mr. Zura back to the specification, in which it describes only two movements, the first and second ones. It appears that Mr. Zura agrees with the examiner on how the key supposes to work according to the disclosure. Mr. Zura is currently consulting with his foreign client, this Office action is issued because the time for the Office to response to applicant's amendment is about to expire, and there is no supplemental amendment to correct the problem. In case that a supplement amendment is sent in *before* the mailing of this Office action, this Office action will be vacated, otherwise, this Office action will be a final. Mr. Zura also had been informed such situation during the interview.

CLAIMS

112 First Paragraph Rejection

2. Claims 13, 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to

which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 13, 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims 13 and 21, they appear claiming three different types of movements, the first one is rotating, the second one is tilting, and the third one is pressing.

However, the original disclosure appears to describe only two movements, the first and second ones. Therefore, these claims create conflicting and contradicting key functions which can not be evaluated in view of the original disclosure, and therefore, no art rejections can be applied. Also, they are considered as a new matter.

ARGUMENT

3. In response to the remarks filed on 1/10/05, the art rejections have not been applied, therefore, no discussion is made regarding the references themselves. If future amendments correct the above problems and the same references are applied, there will be a full response to the arguments. Further, there are changes filed with respect to the drawings and specification on 1/10/05, these papers are now put on hold, but they will be evaluated along with future amendments correcting the above mentioned 112 problem.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,890

Art Unit: 2642

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13, 15-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

5. Applicant's submission of the requirements for the joint research agreement prior art exclusion under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) on 1/10/05 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection under 37 CFR 1.109(b) presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(3). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 571-272-7483. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,890

Art Unit: 2642

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Chlang ✓ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2642 Page 5